UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,440	04/23/2007	Seok-Heon Cho	1403-06	6957
	7590 07/29/201 L LAW FIRM, LLP	EXAMINER		
290 Broadhollo Suite 210E		SHEN, QUN		
Melville, NY 1	1747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,440	CHO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
QUN SHEN	2617		

	GOITOILLIT	2017
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reju	ected ciaims.
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuation of 11.		n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Lewis G. West/	/QUN SHEN/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Examiner, Art Unit 2617	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11:

Applicant's arguments filed after final has been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Kosamo and other references do not teach limitations recited by independent claims (see page 2-3 of remark). Claim 1 essentially recites 1) determining (by a mobile station) a service type prior to establishing the traffic connection, and 2) generate a Key request message for the service requested and send the message to base station.

Kosamo teaches requesting a call to be established for a user terminal (see par 0014). If the call being established is a voice call, the service type is a voice call. If the call is a data call, the service type is then a data call. The service type is implied or inherent in the call establishing process. Kosamo also teaches retrieving security parameters from a subscriber data base entity (HSS, a network server) (see pars 0014, 0033). Kosamo further indicates in Fig 1 a mobile station (UE) sends an encryption selection request to HSS. Such request is corresponding to the called to be established (see par 0014). Therefore, Kosamo also teaches a mobile generating and sending the encryption request for the call being established (with service type information). With reasonable broadest interpretation of the recited claim, Kosamo does read on the relevant limitations. The argument here may also apply to other independent claims (claims 6, 13, and 17). As to the applicant's argument about Kosamo's security parameter selection provides different level of security with the same service requested but not for service type (see page 4 of remark), Examiner would like to point out Kosamo teaches the encryption option or selection per service or per application (see Fig 2, pars 0035-0036). Service includes types of service (TOS, well defined in various standards for QoS and scheduling), or category, and service sessions. Applications are also directly realted to service types. Different applications are different types of services. For example, data application is a data service with a data service type.

Kosamo actually provides explanation and examples of different services and applications, such as speech services, short message, data, email, services, and applications associated with the services or functions (see pars 0031-0032),